1	Kevin S. Sinclair, NV Bar No. 12277		
2	ksinclair@sinclairbraun.com SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP		
3	16501 Ventura Blvd, Suite 400 Encino, California 91436		
4 5	Telephone: (213) 429-6100 Facsimile: (213) 429-6101		
6	Attorneys for Defendant NORTH AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY		
7	DESIGNATED LOCAL COUNSEL FOR SERVICE PER L.R. IA 11-1(b)		
9	Gary L. Compton, State Bar No. 1652 2950 E. Flamingo Road, Suite L Las Vegas, Nevada 89121		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA	
13	DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY,	Case No.: 2:22-cv-00547-GMN-BNW	
14	Plaintiff,	SIGNED STATEMENT REGARDING REMOVED CASE	
15	VS.		
16	NORTH AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY,		
17 18	Defendant.		
19	TO THE ABOVE CAPTIONED COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR		
20	ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:		
21	The undersigned attorney of record for defendant North American Title Insurance		
22	Company ("NATIC") hereby submits the following signed statement regarding this removed		
23	case, pursuant to this Court's April 1, 2022 order:		
24	1. The date on which you were served	with a copy of the complaint.	
25	NATIC is informed and believes that plaintiff Deutsche Bank National Trust Company		
26	("Deutsche Bank") served a copy of the complaint in this action on NATIC on April 6, 2022.		
27	//		
20			



2. The date on which you were served with a copy of the summons.

NATIC is informed and believes that Deutsche Bank served a copy of the summons in this action on NATIC on April 6, 2022.

3. In removals based on diversity jurisdiction, the names of any served defendants who are citizens of Nevada, the citizenship of the other parties, and a summary of defendant's evidence of the amount in controversy.

NATIC is a South Carolina corporation with its principal place of business in Florida. Thus, it is a citizen of South Carolina and Florida. 28 § 1332(c)(1).

Deutsche Bank alleges in paragraph 1 of its complaint that it is a national banking association chartered under the laws of the United States with its main office in the state of California. It is therefore a California citizen for purposes of diversity of citizenship. *Wachovia Bank v. Schmidt*, 546 U.S. 303, 319 (2006) (national bank association is citizen of state where its main office is located).

Thus, there is complete diversity of citizenship between and among the parties (as the plaintiff is a citizen of California, whereas the defendant is a citizen of South Carolina and Florida).

Deutsche Bank alleges that New Century Mortgage Corporation ("New Century") made a \$273,992 loan (the "Loan") to Vincent Vray Tes ("Borrower") which was secured by a deed of trust (the "Deed of Trust") recorded against the Borrower's residence, located at 5916 Post Mountain Street in North Las Vegas (the "Property"). (Compl. ¶¶ 70, 83, 85.) Deutsche Bank alleges that NATIC issued a policy of title insurance to New Century (the "Policy") in conjunction with New Century's origination of the Loan to the Borrower. (Id. at ¶ 88.) Deutsche Bank contends that it is the assignee of both the note evidencing the Loan (the "Note") and the Deed of Trust., and that as a result, it is now the insured under the Policy. (Id. at ¶¶ 87-88.)

Deutsche Bank alleges that the HOA for the Property foreclosed on a superpriority assessment lien on or about December 12, 2013, and that Deutsche Bank then filed an action against the winning bidder to quiet title to the Property, District of Nevada Case No. 2:15-cv-02420-APG-EJY (the "Underlying Action"). (Compl. ¶¶ 115, 118.) According to paragraphs 33

and 34 of Deutsche Bank's complaint in the Underlying Action, the amount due on the Loan at the time of the foreclosure sale "exceeded \$264,000" and the "fair market value of the Property exceeded \$167,000."

Deutsche Bank alleges in this action that NATIC breached the Policy by not defending Deutsche Bank in the Underlying Action and by not indemnifying Deutsche Bank for its purported losses. By its first cause of action, Deutsche Bank seeks a judicial declaration that "Deutsche Bank is entitled to a declaration that the Policy provided coverage for all losses or damages, up to the Amount of Isnurance..." (Compl. ¶ 181.) Because the amount of insurance is \$273,992, the amount in controversy on this cause of action, alone, exceeds the \$75,000 jurisdictional minimum of the District Court. (Compl, Ex. 1.) Additionally, by its remaining causes of action, Deutsche Bank apparently seeks to recover as damages the losses it claims to have sustained on the Loan (i.e., a sum that "exceeded \$264,000" more than eight years ago, and has only continued to climb since the Borrower lost his home to foreclosure), the losses it claims to have sustained as a result of its inability to foreclose on the Property (which was worth more than \$167,000 eight years ago), the attorneys' fees Deutsche Bank claims to have incurred in the Underlying Action, and the attorneys' fees Deutsche Bank incurs in this action. Thus, the amount in controversy on the remaining claims also exceeds \$75,000.

- 4. If your notice of removal was filed more than 30 days after you first received a copy of the summons and complaint, the reason removal has taken place at this time and the date you first received a paper identifying the basis for removal.

  Not applicable.
- 5. In actions removed on the basis of the court's jurisdiction in which the state court action was commenced more than one year before the date of removal, the reasons this action should not summarily be remanded to the state court.
  Not applicable.

//



1	6. The name of any defend	ant known to have been served before you filed the notice
2	of removal who did not formally join in the notice of removal and the reason they	
3	did not.	
4	Not applicable.	
5		
6	Dated: April 18, 2022	SINCLAIR BRAUN LLP
7	Dated. April 16, 2022	SINCLAIR BRAON LLI
8		By: <u>/s/-Kevin S. Sinclair</u>
9		KEVIN S. SINCLAIR
10		Attorneys for Defendant NORTH AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY
11		COMITAIVI
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
25 26		
26		
28		
٥_		

